

LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

The 9th May, 1983

No. 20079-3E.—In exercise of the power conferred by section 87 read with section 91-A of the Employees State Insurance Act, 1948 (Central Act 34 of 1948), and after consultation with the Employees State Insurance Corporation, the Governor of Haryana hereby exempts the Haryana Agriculture University (Press), Hissar from the operation of the said Act, with effect from the 1st April, 1983 to 30th September, 1983.

ASHOK PAHWA,

Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana,
Labour and Employment Department.

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

ORDERS

The 9th May, 1983

No. II/RTK/49-83/21642.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Bhim Sharma and the management of M/s Ved Lakshmi Flour and General Mills Pvt. Ltd., Bhiwani Road, Rohtak, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Bhim Sharma was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. II/RTK/49-83/21649.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Phal Raj and the management of M/s Ved Lakshmi Flour and General Mills Pvt. Ltd., Bhiwani Road, Rohtak, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Phal Raj was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. II/RTK/49-83/21656.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ranjit Singh and the management of M/s Ved Lakshmi Flour and General Mills Pvt. Ltd., Bhiwani Road, Rohtak, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ranjit Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?